

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			www.tippin gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,943	06/22/2001	Takashi Udagawa	Q61743	6215
7:	590 03/06/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			MONDT, JOHANNES P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,943	UDAGAWA, TAKASHI	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johannes P Mondt	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>	
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ely filed Request for Continued	3
i i i	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	of the final rejection.	10
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendard CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	der h in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendmo	ent
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request to application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NOT place th	ie
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	·	•	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,10-12,14 and 15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-21.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)∏l∤approvedior b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State in TE	PEHEORY PROTEINT EXAMINED.	·	
10. ☐ Other:	CHNOLUGY CENTER 2000		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

LECHNOFOCK CENTER 5800
SOBERAISONA DVIENT EXAMINER
noitoa viosiverthan J. Flann

Part of Paper No. 11

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added further limitation to claim 1, i.e., lines 7 and 8, and corresponding further limitation to claim 16 (the only other independent claim) raise a new issue in the claim language requiring further consideration and further search. Furthermore, no simplication for matters of appeal would be achieved by entering said amendment at this time.

1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection in Paper No. 9 needs to be maintained, traverse being only based on the newly introduced further limitation of claim 1 and corresponding further limitation of claim 16.